

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/963,909	09/26/2001	Christian Lorenz	TRW(ASG)5930	9434	
26294	7590 12/29/2004		EXAMINER		
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111			VAN PELT, BRADLEY J		
	ND, OH 44114	11	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	•		3682		
			DATE MAILED, 12/20/200	DATE MAIL ED: 12/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	DN			
Advisory Action	09/963,909	LORENZ, CHRISTIAN	lo i			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradley J Van Pelt	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-			
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under						
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may re	set forth in educe any			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> </ol>						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	<del></del>	to issues which were ne	wly			
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 13 and 14.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 (per final rejection).						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5,6 and 9-	<u>12</u> .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						
			•			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claim 1 requires that the skeleton be interrupted in a radial direction between said spoke section and said steering wheel rim. It is submitted that the '030 reference discloses a skeleton that is interrupted in a radial direction between said spoke section and said steering wheel rim as broadly claimed.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600